

Theory Challenges for describing Nuclear Reactions

Ch. Elster

06/20/2014

Supported by: U.S. Department of Energy





Astrophysics: Stellar Evolution















Indirect Methods: Nuclear Reactions













Why Reactions?



Traditionally used to extract optical potentials, rms radii, density distributions



Traditionally used to extract electromagnetic transitions or nuclear deformations.

Transfer:

Inlastic:

Traditionally used to extract spin, parity, spectroscopic factors example: ¹³²Sn(d,p)¹³³Sn

Traditionally used to study two-nucleon correlations and pairing example: ¹¹Li(p,t)⁹Li





Challenge:

In the continuum theory can solve the few-body problem exactly. Reaction theories need to map onto the many-body problem!

It is not easy to develop effective field theories in reactions:



There is not always a clear separation of scales.





Often attempted meeting point between Structure and Reactions:



overlap function

$$I_{I_A:I_B}(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \Phi^A_{I_A}(\xi_A) | \Phi^B_{I_B}(\xi_A, \mathbf{r}) \rangle$$

spectroscopic factor (S_{nlj}) : norm of overlap function

Extracting spectroscopic factors can provide some handle on structure theory.

However: spectroscopic factors are not observables

Instead: asymptotic normalization coefficients





Direct Reactions with Nuclei:

- Elastic & inelastic scattering
- Few-particle transfer (stripping, pick-up)
- Charge exchange
- Knockout

- <u>Task:</u>
- Isolate important degrees of freedom in a reaction
- Keep track of important channels
- Connect back to the many-body problem







(d,p) Reactions: Effective Three-Body Problem

Hamiltonian for effective few-body poblem:

$$H = H_0 + V_{np} + V_{nA} + V_{pA}$$

$$P_{pA}$$
optical potential
A
$$A$$

$$A$$

$$P_{pA}$$

(d,p) Reactions as three-body problem



Deltuva and Fonseca, Phys. Rev. C79, 014606 (2009).

Elastic, breakup, rearrangement channels are included and fully coupled (compared to e.g. CDCC calculations)



Generalization of Faddeev-AGS approach needed :

Theory: A.M. Mukhamedzhanov, V.Eremenko and A.I. Sattarov, Phys.Rev. C86 (2012) 034001

Generalized Faddeev formulation of (d,p) reactions with:

- (a) explicit inclusion of the Coulomb interaction (no screening)
- (b) explicit inclusion of target excitations



Explicit inclusion of Coulomb interaction:

Formulation of Faddeev equations in Coulomb basis instead of plane waves **Needs:** Formulation with separable interactions to avoid pinch singularities



Target excitations:

Including specific excited states

 \rightarrow Formulation with separable interactions also useful.







Faddeev Equations in Coulomb Basis :

Three-body scattering state:

$$\Psi \rangle = |\phi\rangle + \sum_{a=1}^{3} g_0^C(E) V_a^S |\Psi\rangle$$
$$g_0^C(E) = \left(E - H_0 - V_{pA}^C + i0\right)^{-1}$$

Faddeev components ψ_a

$$|\Psi\rangle \equiv \sum_{a} |\psi_{a}\rangle = \sum_{a} \underbrace{\delta_{a,1} |\phi_{1}\rangle + g_{0}^{C}(E) V_{a}^{S} |\Psi\rangle}_{|\psi_{a}\rangle}$$

e.g. $|\phi_1\rangle$ is initial state A + (pn)

Faddeev-type equations

$$\begin{cases} |\psi_{1}\rangle = |\phi_{1}\rangle + g_{0}^{C}(E)V_{1}^{S}\sum_{a}|\psi_{a}\rangle \\ |\psi_{2}\rangle = g_{0}^{C}(E)V_{2}^{S}\sum_{a}|\psi_{a}\rangle \\ |\psi_{3}\rangle = g_{0}^{C}(E)V_{3}^{S}\sum_{a}|\psi_{a}\rangle \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} |\psi_{1}\rangle = |\phi_{1}\rangle + g_{0}^{C}(E)t_{1}\sum_{a\neq 1}|\psi_{a}\rangle \\ |\psi_{2}\rangle = g_{0}^{C}(E)t_{2}\sum_{a\neq 2}|\psi_{a}\rangle \\ |\psi_{3}\rangle = g_{0}^{C}(E)V_{3}^{S}\sum_{a}|\psi_{a}\rangle \end{cases}$$

proof of principle work is here





A(d,p)B Reaction using Coulomb Green's functions

Coulomb-modified Green's function

$$V^{C} = \frac{Z_{1}Z_{2}\alpha^{2}}{r} \qquad \qquad g_{0}^{C} \equiv \left[E - H_{0} + i0 - V_{pA}^{C}\right]^{-1}$$
$$g_{0}^{C} = \frac{|\psi_{\mathbf{k},\eta}^{C}\rangle\langle\psi_{\mathbf{k},\eta}^{C}|}{E - H_{0} + i0}$$

* É.I.Dolinskiĭ and A.M.Mukhamedzhanov. Sov. J. of Nucl. Phys. **3** (1966), 180. * C.R.Chinn *et al.* Phys. Rev. C **44** (1991), 1569.

All matrix elements must be calculated in the Coulomb basis

Not trivial !





Highlights (up to now)

Single-channel optical potentials

- 1. n-A interaction:
 - OMPs are complex and energy dependent ⇒ developed a separable representation scheme for complex, energy dependent potentials
 - Scheme was successfully employed to construct separable representations for global phenomenological optical potentials for nuclei ranging from ${}^{4}\text{He}$ to ${}^{208}\text{Pb}$
- 2. p-A interaction:
 - Extended n-A separable representation scheme to p-A interactions and applied it to global OMPs for nuclei ranging from ⁴He to ²⁰⁸Pb

Multichannel optical potentials

• Generalized separable representation to complex, energy dependent multichannel potentials and applied to a coupled-channels OMP for neutron inelastic scattering from $^{12}\mathrm{C}$





Based on Ernst-Shakin-Thaler (EST) Representation Phys.Rev. C9, 1780 (1974)

- Separable expansion of Hermitian operator, V, in basis $\{|\phi_i\rangle\}$:
- Projection operator: $p = \sum_{i} |\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i|$

Projecting V onto p-subspace yields $v = V p (pVp)^{-1} pV$ In the limit $p \longrightarrow 1$ we obtain v = V

EST scheme: choose basis vectors to be $|\psi_i^+\rangle$, the outgoing solutions of $H = H_0 + V$ so that $v = \sum_{ij} V |\psi_i^+\rangle \lambda_{ij} \langle \psi_j^+ | V$ Constraints $\Rightarrow \delta_{kj} = \sum_i \langle \psi_k^+ | V | \psi_i^+ \rangle \lambda_{ij}$ $\delta_{ik} = \sum_j \lambda_{ij} \langle \psi_j^+ | V | \psi_k^+ \rangle$

Constraints ensure that at EST support points, E_i , wavefunctions corresponding to v and V are identical





Complex Potentials :

Given a time-reversal operator, \mathcal{K} , reciprocity is fulfilled if

$$\mathcal{K}v\mathcal{K}^{\dagger} = v^{\dagger}$$

Separable potential v does not satisfy this relation for complex potentials

Remedy: use 'in' states $|\psi_i^{(-)}\rangle$, eigenstates of $H' = H_0 + V^*$

For non-Hermitian potential V: $v = \sum_{ii} V |\psi_i^{(+)}\rangle \lambda_{ij} \langle \psi_j^{(-)} | V$

Constraints $\Rightarrow \delta_{kj} = \sum_{i} \langle \psi_{k}^{(-)} | V | \psi_{i}^{(+)} \rangle \lambda_{ij}$ $\delta_{ik} = \sum_{j} \lambda_{ij} \langle \psi_j^{(-)} | V | \psi_k^{(+)} \rangle \qquad \text{since } \lambda \text{ is syr} \\ \text{i.e. } \lambda_{ij} = \lambda_{ji}$

v fulfills reciprocity since λ is symmetric,

t-matrix: $t(E) = \sum_{ij} V |\psi_i^{(+)}\rangle \tau_{ij}(E) \langle \psi_j^{(-)} | V$

L. Hlophe, et al. Phys. Rev. C88, 064608 (2013)







system	partial wave(s)	rank	EST support point(s) [MeV]
	$l \ge 10$	1	40
n+ ⁴⁸ Ca	$l \ge 8$	2	29, 47
	$l \ge 6$	3	16, 36, 47
	$l \ge 0$	4	6, 15, 36, 47
	$l \ge 16$	1	40
$n+^{132}Sn$	$l \ge 13$	2	35, 48
and	$l \ge 11$	3	24, 39, 48
$n+^{208}Pb$	$l \ge 6$	4	11, 21, 36, 45
	$1 \ge 0$	5	$5, 11, 21, 36, 47 \leftarrow$
		1	1

These EST support points provide good description of the *s*-matrices and cross sections from 0 to 50 MeV

— Universal set



Complex, energy dependent potentials

Use states
$$|\psi_i^{(+)}\rangle$$
, eigenstates of $H = H_0 + V(E_i)$
Constraints $\Rightarrow \delta_{kj} = \sum_i \langle \psi_k^{(-)} | V(E_i) | \psi_i^{(+)} \rangle \lambda_{ij}$
 $\delta_{ik} = \sum_j \lambda_{ij} \langle \psi_j^{(-)} | V(E_j) | \psi_k^{(+)} \rangle$

Energy dependence of V breaks symmetry: $\lambda_{ij} \neq \lambda_{ji} \Rightarrow$ violation of reciprocity

Energy dependent EST (eEST) Define $v(E) = \sum_{ij} V(E_i) |\psi_i^+\rangle \lambda_{ij}(E) \langle \psi_j^- | V(E_j),$ Constraint $\Rightarrow \langle \psi_m^- | V(E) | \psi_n^+ \rangle = \langle \psi_m^- | v(E) | \psi_n^+ \rangle$ Matrix elements of v(E) and V(E) are identical at all energies Both EST constraints are satisfied and $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_{ji}$





Off shell *t*-matrix: $n+{}^{48}Ca$, l = 6, $E_{lab} = 16 \text{ MeV}$ Asymmetry: $\Delta t_l^J(k',k;E) = \left| \frac{t_l^J(k',k;E) - t_l^J(k,k';E)}{[t_l^J(k',k;E) + t_l^J(k,k';E)]/2} \right|$





EST and eEST for proton-nucleus scattering

(L. Hlophe, et al., Phys. Rev. C90, 061602 (2014))

- (1) Using Coulomb distorted neutron-nucleus form factors does not work for proton-nucleus scattering
- (2) Use Coulomb-distorted nuclear scattering states, $|\psi_i^{sc(+)}\rangle$
- (3) Replace free propagator by the Coulomb Green's function
- Separable t-matrix becomes

$$t^{sc}(E) = \sum_{ij} V^s(E_i) |\psi_i^{sc(+)}\rangle \tau_{ij}^c(E) \langle \psi_j^{sc(-)} | V^s(E_j) \rangle$$

Evaluating form factor $V^{s}(E_{i})|\psi_{i}^{sc(+)}\rangle$ requires matrix elements of V^{s} in Coulomb basis (C. Elster *et al.*, J.Phys. G**19**, 2123 (1993))







General set of EST support points also valid for $p{+}\mathsf{A}$ optical potentials





Multichannel Separable Potentials

- Including core-excitations leads to coupled-channels potentials
- Collective excitations result in couplings between members of a rotational or vibrational band
- EST form factors become the multichannel half-shell t-matrices

$$t_{\alpha_0\alpha}^J(E) = \sum_{\rho\sigma} \sum_{ij} T_{\alpha_0\rho}^J(E_i) \Big| \phi_{l_\rho k_i^\rho} \Big\rangle \tau_{ij}^{\rho\sigma}(E) \Big\langle \phi_{l_\sigma k_j^\sigma} \Big| T_{\sigma\alpha}^J(E_j)$$

- Quality of on-shell representation similar to single-channel case
- To check if reciprocity is satisfied define asymmetry

$$\Delta t^{J}_{\alpha\alpha_{0}}(k',k;E) = \left| \frac{t^{J}_{\alpha\alpha_{0}}(k',k;E) - t^{J}_{\alpha_{0}\alpha}(k,k';E)}{[t^{J}_{\alpha\alpha_{0}}(k',k;E) + t^{J}_{\alpha_{0}\alpha}(k,k';E)]/2} \right|$$







Asymmetry for EST more pronounced in multichannel scattering

eEST important when taking into account excitations





Near future: Numerical implementation of Faddeev-AGS equations

With this we can solve the effective three-body problem for (d,p) reactions for nuclei across the nuclear chart



Can we test this picture?



Scattering **d+**⁴**He** can be calculated as many body problem by NCSM+RGM

Benchmark elastic and breakup scattering for d+4He



Only reactions with light nuclei will allow benchmarks





Further Challenge: Determine effective interactions V_{eff}

 V_{eff} is effective interaction between N+A and should describe elastic scattering

Hamiltonian for effective few-body poblem:

 $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_{0} + \mathbf{V}_{np} + \mathbf{V}_{nA} + \mathbf{V}_{pA}$

- V_{np} is well understood
- V_{nA} and V_{pA} are effective interactions
- Most used: phenomenological approaches
 - Global optical potential fits to elastic scattering data
 - Most data available for stable nuclei
 - Extrapolation to exotic nuclei questionable
- Microscopic approaches need to be developed or existing ones refined and adapted for exotic nuclei
 - Microscopic approaches were developed for A being a closed shell nucleus.







RIKEN: ⁶He(p,p)⁶He and ⁸He(p,p)⁸He

S. Sakaguchi et al. PRC 87, 021601(R) (2013)

Standard Woods-Saxon type optical potential fit

Analyzing Powers of ⁶He and ⁸He behave differently!

A new A_y puzzle ?







cs + astronomy

Â,

UNIVERSIT

Closer look: Single Scattering

Three-body problem with particles:

o - i - (A-1)-core

- o-i : NN interaction
- i (A-1) core : collective force

Scale of resolution?

A-1

Questions (new and old):

Can the effective p+A or n+A force be derived from first principles?

0

Is this problem a common ground for few- and many-body theory?

Needed as input for few-body description of reactions Currently available for the energies of interest: phenomenological descriptions







Improvement in spin-observables result from taking the mean field force explicitly into account





Microscopic :

Chinn,Elster,Tandy, Redish, Thaler Crespo, Johnson, Tostevin Arrellano, Love

First order Optical Potential --- Full Folding

Proton scattering: $U_{el}(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}) = Z \langle \hat{\tau}_{01}^{pp} \rangle + N \langle \hat{\tau}_{01}^{np} \rangle$

Optical Potential is non-local and depends on energy Off-shell NN t-matrix and one-body nuclear density matrix

General Single Particle Density Matrix

Wave function ~
$$\Phi_{0}(i) \sim f_{l}(i) Y_{l}^{m}(i) \chi_{S}^{(i)}$$
Single particle density matrix
from e.g. NCSM
$$\rho_{IM_{I},IM_{I}^{\prime}}(i,i^{\prime}) \sim \sum_{k_{l}q_{l}; k_{s}q_{s}; k q} \begin{bmatrix} I & K & I \\ M_{I}^{\prime} & q & M_{I} \end{bmatrix} \langle \Psi_{I} || \rho_{kq} || \Psi_{I} \rangle \chi_{k_{l}q_{l}}^{l\prime}(i,i^{\prime}) f_{l}(i) f_{l^{\prime}}^{*}(i^{\prime}) \\ \langle S m_{s} | \tau_{k_{s}q_{s}}^{(i)}(S) | S^{\prime} m_{s}^{\prime} \rangle \begin{bmatrix} k_{l} & k_{s} & k \\ q_{l} & q_{s} & q \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} l & l^{\prime} & k_{l} \\ s & s & k_{s} \\ j & j^{\prime} & k \end{cases}$$
Auxiliary tensor operator
$$\tau_{k_{s}q_{s}}^{(i)}(S = \frac{1}{2}) : \tau_{10}^{(i)} = 2s_{z}$$
Phys.Rev. C88 (2013), 034610
$$\tau_{1,\pm 1}^{(i)} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}(S_{x} \pm iS_{y})$$

$$\chi_{k_{l}q_{l}}^{l\prime}(i,i^{\prime}) = \sum_{ll^{\prime}} (-1)^{l^{\prime}-\lambda^{\prime}} \begin{bmatrix} l & l^{\prime} & k_{l} \\ \lambda & -\lambda^{\prime} & q_{l} \end{bmatrix} Y_{l\lambda}(i) Y_{l^{\prime}\lambda^{\prime}}^{*}(i^{\prime})$$
Orbital angular momentum
Physics + astronomy

Reminder: calculate

$$\langle \hat{\tau}_{01} \rangle \equiv \langle \mathbf{k}' | \langle \phi_A | \hat{\tau}_{01}(\mathcal{E}) | \phi_A \rangle \mathbf{k} \rangle$$

NN interaction (t-matrix) in Wolfenstein representation:

$$+ D(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{K}_{NN}, \mathcal{E}) \left[(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(0)} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(i)} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{NN}) + (\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(0)} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{NN}) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(i)} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}) \right] \quad \text{Off-shell}$$

Projectile "*0*" : plane wave basis Struck nucleon "*i*" : target basis









p+A and n+A effective interactions (optical potentials)



- Renewed urgency in reaction theory community for microscopic input to e.g. (d,p) reaction models.
- Most likely complementary approaches needed for different energy regimes

In the multiple scattering approach not even the first order term is fully explored: all work concentrates on closed-shell nuclei

Via $\langle \Phi_A | \Phi_A \rangle$ results from nuclear structure calculations enter

\Rightarrow Structure and Reaction calculations can be treated with similar sophistication

Older microscopic calculations concentrated on closed shell spin-0 nuclei (ground state wave functions were not available)

Today one can start to explore **importance of open-shells in light nuclei** full complexity of the NN interactions enters

Experimental relevance: Polarization measurements for ${}^{6}\text{He} \rightarrow p$ at RIKEN







Goal for Reaction Theory:

Determine the topography of the nuclear landscape according to reactions described in definite schemes

- At present `traditional' few-body methods are being successfully applied to a subset of nuclear reactions (with light nuclei)
 - Challenge: reactions with heavier nuclei
- Establish overlaps and benchmarks, where different approaches can be firmly tested.
- `cross fertilization' of different fields (structure and reactions) carries a lot of promise for developing the theoretical tools necessary for RIB physics.
- It is an exciting time to participate in this endeavor.



