

SURVEY OF SOME RECENT RESULTS ON CS-GROUP ALGEBRAS AND OPEN QUESTIONS

S.K.JAIN¹, PRAMOD KANWAR, AND J. B. SRIVASTAVA

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a ring and G be a group. Let $R = KG$ be the group ring of the group G . We shall call R to be a group algebra if K is a field. It is well known that R is right selfinjective if and only if K is a right selfinjective ring and G is a finite group. For any ring R , we know that if M is an injective R -module then each essentially closed submodule is a direct summand of M . This property is known as property (C_1) in the literature and the modules satisfying this property are known as CS-modules or extending modules.

The subject of CS-modules has been of considerable interest to many authors and a number of papers have been written. (See for example [5], [6], [7], [8], [10], and so on.) The question of CS-group algebras was initiated in 2000 by Jain et. al. in [8] where the group algebra of infinite dihedral group was considered. There is very little known about CS-group algebras, in general and several interesting questions are open. We will give a survey of recent results in the area and state some of the open problems.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout, unless otherwise stated, K will denote a field and $R = KG$, the group algebra of the group G over K . The augmentation ideal $\omega(R)$ of R is defined to be the ideal generated by $\{1 - g \mid g \in G\}$. It is known that $\omega(R)$ is a maximal ideal of R . R is a prime ring if and only if G has no finite normal subgroups. R is a semiprime ring if and only if either characteristic of K is 0 or if characteristic of K is p then G has no finite normal subgroup whose order is divisible by p . If R is local then characteristic of K is p and G is a p -group ([11], Lemma

¹Plenary talk at ICM Satellite Conference in Algebra, August 2002.

2000 AMS Subject Classification. Primary:16S34, 16R20; Secondary:16L30, 16E60.

Key words and phrases. CS group rings, finitely Σ -CS group rings, prime rings, semiprime rings, polycyclic-by-finite groups, locally finite groups.

1.13, p415). R is artinian (even perfect) if and only if G is finite. The characterization of semiperfect or semilocal group algebras is not easy and is known only in some special cases.

A group G is called polycyclic if G has a finite subnormal series

$$(e) = G_0 \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_n = G$$

such that each quotient G_{i+1}/G_i is cyclic. If G_{i+1}/G_i is either cyclic or finite then G is called polycyclic-by-finite. For example, the infinite dihedral group

$$D_\infty = \{\langle a, b \mid o(a) \text{ is infinite, } o(b) = 2, ab = ba^{-1}\}$$

is a polycyclic-by-finite group as

$$\langle e \rangle \triangleleft \langle a \rangle \triangleleft D_\infty.$$

G is called locally finite if every finitely generated subgroup of G is finite. G is called an FC-group if every element of G has finitely many conjugates.

For a group G , G' will denote its commutator subgroup, $Z(G)$, its center and G^+ , the set of its torsion elements. We will denote by $\Delta(G)$, the subgroup of all those elements of G that have finitely many conjugates, that is,

$$\Delta(G) = \{x \in G \mid [G : C_G(x)] < \infty\}.$$

Observe that G is an FC-group if and only if $G = \Delta(G)$. $\Delta^+(G)$ will denote those elements of $\Delta(G)$ that are of finite order, that is,

$$\Delta^+(G) = \{x \in G \mid [G : C_G(x)] < \infty \text{ and } o(x) < \infty\}.$$

For any two R -modules M and N , M is said to be N -injective if for any R -homomorphism $\phi : N \rightarrow E(M)$, where $E(M)$ is the injective hull of M , $\phi(N) \subset M$. M is said to be injective if M is N -injective for all right R -modules N . A submodule K of a right R -module M is said to be essential in M , denoted by $K \subset_e M$, if for any nonzero submodule L of M , $K \cap L \neq 0$. M is called a CS (or extending) module if every submodule of M is essential in a direct summand of M , equivalently, if every essentially closed submodule of M is a direct summand of M . M is called finitely Σ -CS if direct sum of finite number of copies of M is CS. M is called CS with respect to uniform submodules if every uniform submodule of M is essential in a direct summand of M , equivalently, if every uniform closed submodule of M is a direct summand of M . M is said to satisfy condition (C_3) if for any two summands M_1 and M_2 of M with $M_1 \cap M_2 = 0$, $M_1 \oplus M_2$ is also a summand of M . A CS module is called quasi-continuous if it satisfies (C_3) . It is known that

if $M \times N$ is quasi-continuous then M and N are injective relative to each other.

A ring R is said to be right CS (or CS with respect to uniform right ideals) if the right R -module R_R is CS (resp. CS with respect to uniform R -submodules). R is called right selfinjective if R_R is injective. R is called right PP if every principal right ideal of R is projective. It is well known that all right PP rings are right nonsingular and that every right nonsingular right CS ring is right PP. For a ring R , $J(R)$ will denote the Jacobson radical of R and $Z_r(R)$, the right singular ideal $\{r \in R \mid rI = 0 \text{ for some essential right ideal } I \text{ of } R\}$ of R . For an element a of a ring R , $r.ann_R(a)$ will denote the right annihilator of a in R .

3. PRIME AND SEMIPRIME GROUP ALGEBRAS

We begin this section with a general result on nonsingular CS-group rings.

Proposition 3.1. *Let R be a ring with no nontrivial idempotents and G any group such that RG is nonsingular and CS. Then for every finite subgroup H of G , $o(H)$ is invertible in R . In particular, order of every torsion element in G is invertible in R .*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the result for finite cyclic subgroups of G . Let $H = \langle h \rangle$ be a finite cyclic subgroup of G and let $o(H) = n$. Then $\omega(RH) = (H - 1)RH = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (h^i - 1)RH$. Thus $r.ann_{RH}(\omega(RH)) = r.ann_{RH}((h-1)RH) = r.ann_{RH}(h-1) = (\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h^i)RH = (\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h^i)R$. Since RG is nonsingular and CS, RG is PP. Consequently RH is PP. Thus $r.ann_{RH}(h-1)$ is generated by an idempotent. Hence there exist an idempotent e in RH such that $(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h^i)R = eRH$. Let $e = (\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h^i)r$. Then $e^2 = (\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h^i)^2 r^2 = n(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h^i)r^2$. Since e is an idempotent, $n(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h^i)r^2 = (\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h^i)r$. It follows that $nr^2 = r$. If $nr = 0$ then $r = nr^2 = 0$. Thus $e = 0$, a contradiction as $r.ann_{RH}(\omega(RH)) \neq 0$. Thus $nr \neq 0$. Also $n^2 r^2 = nr$, that is, nr is an idempotent. Since R has no nontrivial idempotents, $nr = 1$, as desired. ■

We now consider prime and semiprime noetherian group algebras which are CS. We state the following result.

Theorem 3.2. ([8], *Theorem 3.6, Lemma 3.8, Theorem 3.9*) *Let $R = KD_\infty$, the group algebra of the infinite dihedral group. Then*

(i) *R is a right CS-ring if and only if $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$.*

(ii) *The center $C = Z(R)$ of R is a Dedekind domain and R_C is also CS.*

Recall that the infinite dihedral group D_∞ in Theorem 3.2 is a polycyclic-by-finite group. Also KD_∞ is noetherian. Using theory of PI group algebras, it can be seen that KD_∞ has PI ([11], Corollary 3.8, p196 and Corollary 3.10, p197). Since $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$, $\text{gl. dim}(KD_\infty) < \infty$ ([11], Theorem 3.13, p450). Thus $\text{gl. dim}(KD_\infty)$ is equal to the Hirsch number $h(D_\infty)$ of D_∞ ([11], p450). Since $h(D_\infty)$ is the number of infinite cyclic quotients in any subnormal series of D_∞ , $h(D_\infty) = 1$. It follows, then, that the ring R in Theorem 3.2 is also hereditary. Thus KD_∞ is a prime right-left CS, noetherian, hereditary ring with PI.

Behn, in his Ph.D. dissertation [1], considered prime CS group algebras R of polycyclic-by-finite groups. It can be shown that the prime group algebra KG of a polycyclic-by-finite group is noetherian and has PI.

Theorem 3.3. ([2], *Theorem 3.3.10*) *Let G be a polycyclic-by-finite group and suppose $R = KG$ is prime. Then R is CS if and only if G is either torsion free or $G \simeq D_\infty$ and $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$.*

Question 1. Characterize group G if $R = KG$ is a semiprime PI noetherian CS-group algebra.

(The hypothesis in Question 1 implies G is polycyclic-by-finite. (See Lemma 3.4))

As observed above the group algebra KD_∞ is indeed hereditary. It, therefore, follows that if $R = KG$ is a prime CS group algebra of a polycyclic-by-finite group which is not a domain then it is hereditary. By ([5], Corollary 12.18), this is equivalent to R is finitely \sum -CS, that is, R^n is CS as R -module for all $n > 0$. This leads us to raise the following question.

Question 2. Is semiprime PI noetherian CS-group algebra which is not a direct sum of domains also finitely \sum -CS, that is, R^n is CS as R -module for all $n > 0$?

We know that semiprime noetherian ring R is finitely \sum -CS if and only if it is right hereditary ([5], Corollary 12.18). With respect to Question 2, one may ask to characterize groups G such that $R = KG$ is a semiprime noetherian PI hereditary ring. Hereditary group algebras have been completely characterized by Dicks ([4]). The structure of group G , in this case, is described in terms of fundamental group of connected graphs of finite groups.

Since the description of fundamental groups is rather too abstract, we give below a characterization of semiprime hereditary group algebras. In this special case the group G is quite easy to describe. We first give a Lemma that is of independent interest.

Lemma 3.4. *If KG is right (or left) noetherian and has PI then G is polycyclic-by-finite.*

Proof. First we note that G is noetherian because KG is noetherian.

Case I: $\text{char}(K) = 0$.

Since KG has PI, G has a normal abelian subgroup A of finite index which is finitely generated and so it is polycyclic ([11], Corollary 3.8, p196). Since $[G : A] < \infty$, G is polycyclic-by-finite.

Case II: $\text{char}(K) = p$.

Since KG has PI, G has a normal p -abelian subgroup A of finite index which is finitely generated ([11], Corollary 3.10, p197). Consequently $\frac{A}{A}$ is finitely generated, abelian and hence polycyclic. Also as A is p -abelian, A' is finite. Since $[G : A] < \infty$, $(1) \trianglelefteq A' \trianglelefteq A \trianglelefteq G$ is a finite subnormal series in which each factor is either finite or polycyclic. Thus G is polycyclic-by-finite. ■

The theorem that follows gives a characterization of a semiprime noetherian hereditary group algebras with PI.

Theorem 3.5. *Let K be a field with $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ and let KG be a semiprime, noetherian, PI group algebra. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) KG is hereditary.
- (ii) Either G is finite or G has an infinite cyclic subgroup A of finite index, say, n such that in the case $\text{char}(K) = p$, p does not divide n and G has no element of order p .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

Since KG is hereditary, $\text{gl. dim}(KG)$ is 0 or 1. If $\text{gl. dim}(KG) = 0$ then G is a finite group with no elements of order p . So let $\text{gl. dim}(KG) = 1$. KG , being hereditary, is nonsingular. Also KG is finitely \sum -CS and hence CS. We show that G has no element of order p if $\text{char}(K) = p$. Let, if possible, G has an element, say x , of order p . Let $H = \langle x \rangle$, the cyclic subgroup generated by x . Since KG is nonsingular and CS, it must be PP. Thus, by ([2], Lemma 3.3.1), KH is PP. Hence KH is nonsingular. However, the right nonsingular ideal $Z_r(KH)$ of KH is $\omega(KH) \neq 0$ ([11], Exercise 30, p467), a contradiction. Thus G has no element of order p , if $\text{char}(K) = p$. By ([11], p450), Hirsch length $h(G)$ of G is equal to 1. Thus by ([11], Lemma 2.5, p422) G has a characteristic infinite cyclic subgroup A of finite index. If $\text{char}(K) = 0$ then

we have nothing else to prove. So let us assume that $\text{char}(K) = p$. Since A is infinite cyclic, $\text{Aut}(A) \cong C_2$, the cyclic group of order 2. Define $\sigma : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ given by $\sigma(x) = \sigma_x$ where $\sigma_x : A \rightarrow A$, $\sigma_x(a) = xax^{-1}$. It can be checked that σ is a group homomorphism and $\ker(\sigma) = C_G(A)$. Thus $[G : C_G(A)] \leq 2$.

Case I : $[G : C_G(A)] = 1$.

In this case $G = C_G(A)$. Thus $A \subset Z(G)$. Since $[G : A] < \infty$, $[G : Z(G)] < \infty$. Thus G' is finite and $p \nmid o(G')$. Since for any $x, g \in G$, $g^{-1}xg = xx^{-1}g^{-1}xg \in xG'$ and G' is finite, it follows that G is an FC-group, that is, $G = \Delta(G)$. Hence G^+ , the group of all torsion elements of G , is a finite normal subgroup of G and $\frac{G}{G^+}$ is finitely generated torsion free abelian ([11], Lemma 1.5, p116). Thus $G' \subset G^+$.

Consider the subnormal series

$$(1) \trianglelefteq G' \trianglelefteq G^+ \trianglelefteq G.$$

Since $\frac{G}{G^+}$ is finitely generated torsion free abelian, $\frac{G}{G^+}$ is free abelian. But G^+ is finite. Therefore $h(\frac{G}{G^+}) = h(G) = 1$. Therefore $\frac{G}{G^+}$ is an infinite cyclic group. Let $\frac{G}{G^+} = \langle xG^+ \rangle$. Then $G = G^+ \langle x \rangle$ and $p \nmid o(G^+) = [G : \langle x \rangle]$. This completes the proof in this case.

Case II : $[G : C_G(A)] = 2$.

Let $G_0 = C_G(A)$. Then $C_{G_0}(A) = G_0$. Note that KG_0 is semiprime, noetherian, PI, and hereditary because $gl.\dim(KG_0) = h(G_0) = 1$ ([11], Lemma 2.10, p426). By Case I, $G_0 = G_0^+ \langle x \rangle$ and $p \nmid [G_0 : \langle x \rangle]$. Since $[G : \langle x \rangle] = 2[G_0 : \langle x \rangle]$ and $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$, $p \nmid [G : \langle x \rangle]$ and we are done in this case as well.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i)

We only need to consider the case when G is infinite. Let A be an infinite cyclic subgroup of G with $[G : A] < \infty$. Then KA is a PID. Thus $gl.\dim(KA) = 1$. Since $[G : A] < \infty$, and G has no element of order p if $\text{char}(K) = p$, $gl.\dim(KG) < \infty$ ([11], Theorem 3.12, p442). Indeed $gl.\dim(KG) = gl.\dim(KA) = 1$ ([11], p450). Thus KG is hereditary. ■

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose $R = KG$ is a semiprime noetherian hereditary group algebra with PI. Then*

- (i) *the center $Z(R)$ of R is a direct sum of Dedekind domains.*
- (ii) *R is CS as a module over its center $Z(R)$.*

Proof. (i) Since $R = KG$ is semiprime, the classical quotient ring $Q_{cl}(R)$ of R is semisimple artinian. Let $Q_{cl}(R) = e_1Q_{cl}(R) \oplus e_2Q_{cl}(R) \oplus \dots \oplus e_nQ_{cl}(R)$ where for each i , e_i is a central idempotent and $e_iQ_{cl}(R)$ is simple artinian. By ([11], Exercise 32, p167), $e_i \in R$. Hence

$R = e_1R \oplus e_2R \oplus \dots \oplus e_nR$. Since R is hereditary, e_iR is hereditary. Also e_iR is a prime ring satisfying a polynomial identity ([11] Corollaries 3.8 and 3.10, p196-197). Thus e_iR is a prime PI noetherian hereditary ring. So by ([9], Theorem 13.9.16, p483), the center $Z(e_iR)$ is a Dedekind domain. Since $Z(R) = Z(e_1R) \oplus Z(e_2R) \oplus \dots \oplus Z(e_nR)$, the result follows.

(ii) The proof follows from the fact that $Z(e_iR)$ is a Dedekind domain and e_iR is CS over $Z(e_iR)$. ■

4. LOCAL CS-GROUP ALGEBRAS

In this section we state recent results on local group algebras which are either CS or finitely Σ -CS. We begin with the following result.

Theorem 4.1. ([3], Lemma 4.1) *Let K be a field and G be any group. The group algebra KG of the group G is local right CS if and only if $\text{char}(K) = p$ and G is a locally finite p -group.*

Lemma 4.2. ([3], Lemma 3.3) *Suppose R is a local right uniform ring with nil radical and S is a uniform direct summand of $(R \times R)_R$. Then $S = (1, b)R$ for some $b \in R$ or $(a, 1)R$ for some $a \in R$.*

Lemma 4.3. ([3], Theorem 3.10) *Under the conditions of Lemma 4.2, $R \times R$ has (C_3) , that is, if S_1 and S_2 are direct summands of $R \times R$ with $S_1 \cap S_2 = 0$ then $S_1 \oplus S_2$ is also a summand of $R \times R$.*

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose $R = KG$ is a local group algebra. Then $M_2(R)$ is CS if and only if R is selfinjective.*

Proof. By ([5], Lemma 12.8), $(R \times R)_R$ is CS. Since radical of R is nil, $(R \times R)_R$ has (C_3) (by Lemma 4.3). Thus $(R \times R)_R$ is quasi-continuous. Consequently R is selfinjective. (Note that in this case R is selfinjective is equivalent to saying that G is a finite p -group.) ■

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose $R = KG$ is a local group algebra. Then $M_n(R)$ ($n > 1$) is CS if and only if $M_2(R)$ is CS.*

A ring R is called semilocal if $\frac{R}{J(R)}$ is semisimple artinian. For a semilocal group algebra $R = KG$, one can ask the following questions.

Question 3. Characterize groups G such that semilocal group algebra KG is finitely Σ -CS.

Question 4. Characterize groups G such that semilocal group algebra KG is CS.

In case G is a nilpotent group, then the following result is known.

Theorem 4.6. ([3], Theorem 4.3) *Let K be a field and G be a nilpotent group such that the group algebra $R = KG$ is semiperfect. Then $M_n(R)$ ($n > 1$) is CS if and only if R is selfinjective.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Antonio Behn, *Group rings whose principal ideals are projective and group with bounded representation degree*, Ph.D. dissertation, University of Wisconsin, Madison (2000).
- [2] Antonio Behn, *Polycyclic group rings whose principal ideals are projective*, *J. Algebra*, **232**, (2000), 697-707.
- [3] K. I. Beidar, S. K. Jain, Pramod Kanwar, and J. B. Srivastava, *CS matrix rings over local rings*, Preprint.
- [4] Warren Dicks, *Hereditary group rings*, *J. London Math. Soc.* **20** (2), (1979), 27-38.
- [5] Nguyen Viet Dung, Dinh Van Huynh, Patrick F. Smith and Robert Wisbauer, *Extending Modules*, Pitman, London, 1994.
- [6] José L. Gómez Pardo and Pedro A Guil Asensio, *Essential embedding of cyclic modules in projectives*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **349** (11), (1997), 4343-4353.
- [7] José L. Gómez Pardo and Pedro A Guil Asensio, *Every Σ -CS module has an indecomposable decomposition*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **129**, (2001), 947-954.
- [8] S. K. Jain, P. Kanwar, S. Malik, and J. B. Srivastava, *KD_∞ is a CS-algebra*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **128** (2), (2000), 397-400.
- [9] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, Wiley-Interscience, NY, 1987.
- [10] B.L.Osofsky and P.F. Smith, *Cyclic modules whose quotients have all complement submodules direct summands*, *J. Algebra*, **139**, (1991), 342-354.
- [11] Donald S. Passman, *The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings*, John Wiley, NY, 1977.
- [12] Daniel Segal, *Polycyclic Groups*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, NY, 1983.
- [13] S. K. Sehgal, *Topics in Group Rings*, Dekker, NY, 1978.
- [14] M. J. Tomkinson, *FC-Group*, Research Notes in Math 96, Pitman, London, 1984.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO UNIVERSITY, ATHENS, OH 45701
E-mail address: jain@math.ohiou.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO UNIVERSITY - ZANESVILLE, ZANESVILLE,
 OH 43701
E-mail address: pkanwar@math.ohiou.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DELHI
 110016 (INDIA)
E-mail address: jbsrivas@maths.iitd.ernet.in