Non-negative Matrix Factorization Improves the Efficiency of Recording Frequency-Following Responses in Normal-Hearing Adults and Neonates





¹Communication Sciences and Disorders, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701, USA; ²Biodiversity Research Center, Academia Sinica, Taipei 11529, Taiwan; ³OhioHealth O'Bleness Hospital, Athens, OH 45701, USA

INTRODUCTION

- The scalp-recorded frequency-following response (FFR) is an electroencephalographic (EEG) measurement that has been widely used to evaluate how the human brain perceives and tracks changes in the fundamental frequency (FO) and its harmonics with periodic speech stimulations (Hart & Jeng, 2021; Krizman & Kraus, 2019; Skoe & Kraus, 2010).
- Despite the usefulness of the FFR, one major challenge still exists. This challenge is related to the negative influences of different kinds of noise that are embedded in a recording. Because the FFR is a small-amplitude response (usually \leq 100 nV) (Jeng et al, 2011; Lemos et al., 2021; Skoe & Kraus, 2010), any kind of noise, either environmental or physiological in nature, may have substantial and adverse effects on the signal-to-noise ratio of a recording.
- The non-negative matrix factorization (NMF), first reported by Lee and Seung (Lee & Seung, 1999), is a machine learning algorithm for extracting parts-based representations (i.e., separating different components of a mixture).
- In this study, we developed a new source separation NMF (SSNMF) algorithm that does not require any supervised training by integrating a source separation constraint (i.e., a rule dictating how each component is computed) in the conventional NMF algorithm.

METHODS

Participants

• Fifteen American adults (10 females and 5 males, 20-33 years old) and 15 American neonates (6 girls and 9 boys, 1-3 days after birth)

Stimulus

- An English vowel /i/ with a rising frequency contour (FO ranging from 102 to 140 Hz) was utilized to elicit FFRs.
- 70 dB SPL for adults and 65 dB SPL for neonates

Recording

- 3 gold-plated surface recording electrodes
- High forehead, right mastoid, and low forehead • Participants resting or fast-asleep prior to recording
- 8000 accepted sweeps for each recording

Preprocessing

- 100, 250, 500, 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000, 5000, 6000, 7000, and 8000 sweeps were randomly selected from a pool of the 8000 accepted sweeps.
- This resulted in a total of 11 nSweep conditions to be analyzed.
- The averaged time waveform of each nSweep condition was converted to an amplitude spectrogram by using a narrow-band sliding-window technique.
- Amplitude spectrograms of the 11 nSweep conditions were subsequently concatenated as input signals in the SSNMF algorithm

Fuh-Cherng Jeng¹, Tzu-Hao Lin², Breanna N. Hart¹, Karen Montgomery-Reagan³, and Kalyn McDonald¹

SSNMF Model

Optimization



Architecture

Figure 1. Design of a SSNMF algorithm. The SSNMF algorithm was based on two assumptions: (1) each EEG recording was a mixture of FFR and noise, and (2) an FFR was present with similar magnitudes in each recording sweep.



Figure 2. Procedural steps of an iteration cycle.



Figure 4. Application of the SSNMF algorithm on EEG recordings obtained in adult participants. Grand-averaged spectrograms of the input data (A), spectral-basis matrix (B), information-coding matrix (C), enhanced FFR (D), and extracted noise (E).



Figure 5. Application of the SSNMF algorithm on EEG recordings obtained in *neonatal* participants. Grand-averaged spectrograms of the input data (A), spectral-basis matrix (B), information-coding matrix (C), enhanced FFR (D), and extracted noise (E).



25 50 75 100 125 Time (ms) Figure 3. A typical example of the SSNMF decomposition. These grand-averaged spectrograms were obtained from

included in the averaging procedure.

fifteen adult participants when the 500 sweeps were

EEG

Example Outcome

C SSNMF

-> separatio

Source

RESULTS



D FFR



DISCUSSION

- Effectiveness of the SSNMF algorithm is visualized in the sweep series of amplitude spectrograms derived from adult and neonatal recordings.
- The SSNMF decomposition has successfully enhanced the visibility of the FFR and removed additional noise from each recording. Such improvements are examined and modeled through exponential curve fitting of FFR Enhancement and Noise Reduction trends with increasing number of sweeps.
- Applications of the SSNMF algorithm on FFR recordings may prove to be useful in assessing pitch processing and neuroplasticity mechanisms in the human brain for individuals during their adulthood and immediate postnatal days.
- Limitations of this study and future directions
 - Although the SSNMF algorithm does not require any training data, performance of this algorithm relies on the quality and information that are embedded in the input spectrograms.
 - The applicability of this algorithm on different types of stimuli, such as the /da/ stimulus that has been widely used in FFR research, remains unexplored.
- For clarity, the SSNMF algorithm written in the Python programming language and a sample recording are available on the first author's (FCJ) GitHub repository https://github.com/fjeng/ffr ssnmf feasibility.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr. Amy Zidron and Dr. Brandie Nance for their assistance in recruiting neonatal participants.

REFERENCES

- Hart, B., & Jeng, F.-C. (2021). A demonstration of machine learning in detecting frequency following responses in American neonates. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 128(1), 48–58. https://doi.org/10.1177/0031512520960390
- Jeng, F.-C., Hu, J., Dickman, B., Montgomery-Reagan, K., Tong, M., Wu, G., & Lin, C.-D. (2011). Cross-linguistic comparison of frequencyfollowing responses to voice pitch in American and Chinese neonates and adults. Ear and Hearing, 32(6), 699–707. https://doi.org/10.1097/aud.0b013e31821cc0df
- Krizman, J., & Kraus, N. (2019). Analyzing the FFR: A tutorial for decoding the richness of auditory function. Hearing Research, 382, 107779. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heares.2019.107779
- Lee, D. D., & Seung, H. S. (1999). Learning the parts of objects by nonnegative matrix factorization. Nature, 401(6755), 788–791. https://doi.org/10.1038/44565
- Lemos, F. A., da Silva Nunes, A. D., de Souza Evangelista, C. K., Escera, C., Taveira, K. V. M., & Balen, S. A. (2021). Frequency-following response in newborns and infants: A systematic review of acquisition parameters. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 64(6), 2085–2102. https://doi.org/10.1044/2021 JSLHR-20-00639
- Skoe, E., & Kraus, N. (2010). Auditory brain stem response to complex sounds: A tutorial. Ear and Hearing, 31(3), 302–324. https://doi.org/10.1097/AUD.0b013e3181cdb272