BOOKS ET AL.

BOOKS: PALEONTOLOGY

Science, Art, and Dinosaurs

Lawrence M. Witmer

ll science involves what we might call "art" in the sense of requiring intuition, creativity, and inspiration (whether to grasp the underlying causal connection between seemingly unrelated observations or to construct an elegant experimental design). But in a few disciplines, science also closely intermingles with the fine arts, such as painting and sculpture. Dinosaur study is one such discipline. Exchanges between science and art have helped public perception of dinosaurs closely track research. For well over a century, artists have rendered fleshed-out dinosaurs living in their ancient environments. Through the "dinosaur renaissance" of the 1970s and the explosion of interest with Jurassic Park in the 1990s, dinosaurs reached the mainstream of popular culture and became a multibillion dollar industry. Not surprisingly, dinosaur artists have proliferated and thrived, and dinosaur art has emerged as a legitimate genre.

The current trend in dinosaur art toward realism and scientific accuracy is admirably and beautifully captured in Dinosaur Im-



Herrerasaurus. John Sibbick's drawing of an early dinosaur from the Late Triassic of Argentina.

agery, which presents works from the collection of Chicago dinosaur philanthropist and art collector John Lanzendorf. By commissioning art created under the supervision of dinosaur scientists, Lanzendorf has engaged both the scientific and artistic communities and has supported their interaction. Dinosaur Imagery reflects this synthesis by

nit-pick about the accuracy of specific pieces. The vast majority of the art is very recent, and the images generally represent current scientific thinking.

There is very little new science in this book; indeed, the dust jacket refers to coffee tables. But there is more to the volume's significance than its intrinsic scientific merit. It represents the extraordinarily close marriage of dinosaur paleontology and the popular portrayal of dinosaurs, a relation perhaps unique in all of science. This link has become such a fundamental aspect of dinosaur sci-

tions range from the prosaic to the technical. Most strike a comfortable balance between **Dinosaur Imagery** The Science of Lost Worlds and Jurassic Art. The Lanzendorf Collection Academic Press, San Diego, 2000. 176 pp. \$49.95, C\$69.95. ISBN 0-12-436590-6.

ence that it goes unmentioned by any of the contributing professionals. Perhaps it is more comfortable for researchers to believe that the direction of information transfer is mostly from scientist to artist. As scientists, we may recognize that the artist provides critical feedback on particular points, but we like to believe that science rules the roost.

However, the flow of information between dinosaur science and the public perception of dinosaurs goes both ways. How dinosaurs are

portrayed to and viewed by the public plays back into the science. This is true for the simple reason that dinosaur scientists are also members of the public. Philosophers and historians of science have argued that scientific advances are shaped by the prevailing culture, and dinosaurs are a pervasive aspect of modern culture. We see them in movies. on television, and at museums

and even shopping malls. We read about them on the Internet and in books, magazines, and newspapers. We wear them on tshirts and play with them as toys. Dinosaurs are an inescapable aspect of our lives, and the kind of art displayed in Dinosaur Imagery often represents their entrée into popular culture. As consumers of popular culture, paleontologists cannot help but be shaped by it, nor can their science. I grew up in the 1960s with the prevailing notion of dinosaurs as dull-witted, cold-blooded swamp dwellers. In the era of Jurassic Park, my graduate students grew up believing that dinosaur breath would steam your windows and that dinosaurs were caring parents. Regardless of the veracity of either view, each represents the intellectual backdrops within which we obtained our professional training.

That art influences science is hardly a new notion, but it would seem to be particularly true for the science of dinosaurs simply because their cultural representation is ubiquitous. This cultural influence is not necessarily a disadvantage (the broad interest in all things dinosaurian has been a boon to dinosaur research), but it does represent an often unrecognized source of bias. For example, although "everyone" knows that dinosaurs were warm-blooded, dinosaur endothermy remains highly controversial among professionals. Thankfully, academic training often reveals that some "common knowledge" about dinosaurs (such as brontosaurs rearing up) is $\frac{1}{2}$ based on scant objective data.

But it is not clear how much of the infor- ₹ mation about dinosaurs that comes to us from § popular art and culture is ever questioned or tested; much is simply assimilated. Dinosaur artists often must make decisions about un- ह known, unpreserved, or controversial aspects imply to complete the work, and unknow-

come celebrities in their own right. The stars of Dinosaur Imagery are the dinosaurs themselves. Nearly all the images show animals actively engaged in their lives, and these paintings, drawings, and sculptures are imbued with movement and emotion. The carnivorous theropods (Tyrannosaurus and its kin) are perhaps over-represented, but predators have always been more seductive subjects for artists than are their

including a variety of commentaries from

professional paleontologists. Their contribu-

commenting on the specific art

piece and discussing the under-

lying science. But the volume

is no scientific treatise, its

subtitle notwithstanding. Writ-

ten for the general reader, the

commentaries are generally

lightweight. However, their in-

clusion helps establish a con-

text for the art, and it adds a

certain cachet to the volume in

that many of the paleontologists

have, with the popularity of dinosaurs, be-

prey. The volume features work of many of the best dinosaur artists and is testimony to their diversity, talent, and care. The numerous sculptures are an unexpected delight. Being a scientist and not an art critic, I will refrain from commenting on the works as art. Likewise, it seems pointless to

The author is in the Department of Biomedical Sciences, College of Osteopathic Medicine, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701, USA. E-mail: witmerl@ohiou.edu

able attributes are often introduced to make images more striking. This is perhaps as it should be (in the spirit of artistic freedom), and dinosaur art of the variety presented in Dinosaur Imagery usually represents the best estimate at a particular moment in time. Yet all these images remain and find their way into the cultural mainstream to influence future generations of scientists.

My intent is not to suggest that there is anything insidious going on, but rather to highlight the major role that works of art can play in shaping scientific discourse. Although it is unlikely that Dinosaur Imagery will have the impact on science of a film like Jurassic Park, it seems a safe bet that movie producers are more likely to pick up Dinosaur Imagery for inspiration than Science or the Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology.

BOOKS: ENTOMOLOGY

A Framework for Melittology

David W. Roubik

ees are the pollinators of most flowering plants, the spore dispersers for many fungi, winged invaders of continents due to human manipulation, and understated butterflies to the naturalists who inspect and explore their beauty. The 20,000 to 30,000 extant species are descended from solitary wasps that largely

The Bees of the

World

by Charles D. Michener

Johns Hopkins Universi-

ty Press, Baltimore, MD,

2000. 929 pp. \$135,

£93. ISBN 0-8018-

6133-0.

abandoned feeding on insects and spiders in favor of pollen as a protein source. They share an extensive evolutionary history with ants and wasps, and like those groups they are the subject of a voluminous literature. Charles Michener, a professor emeritus of entomology and of ecology and evolutionary biology at the University

of Kansas, has made many substantial contributions to that literature since publishing his first paper in 1935. The Bees of the World marks the completion of a pursuit that traces from his 1944 doctoral thesis.

Offering readers a sense of the taxonomic, morphological, and behavioral diversity of bees, the book is designed to provide the systematic framework required for the continuing study of bees as biological entities.

Throughout the volume, Michener pursues the phylogenetic and taxonomic underpinnings of bee biology with particular alacrity. He discusses how groups of bees are related to one another, why he recog-

SCIENCE'S COMPASS

nizes these relationships, and what they imply. Bolstered by the research of a legion of collaborators and students, Michener's extensive consideration and modest speculation regarding bee diversity will appeal to scientific curiosity, as systematics is supposed to. His clear presentation also serves as a guide to the extensive literature on bee biology; the 2500 references cover a wide range of the field.

To facilitate identification, Michener provides valuable keys to all families, subfamilies, tribes, genera, and subgenera. These are accompanied by figures and text commentary, but there are only a few phylogenetic diagrams to illustrate the underlying relationships. Michener's judgments are evidently offered not as edicts, but as invitations to work out further details. Few will resist received wisdom in this form.

The bees. Apiformes, are part of the more inclusive superfamily Apoidea, which also includes the sphecoid wasps. In many earlier studies of Hymenoptera, bees consist of the single family Apidae. Although this classification may conveniently avoid further complications in an already paraphyletic group of wasps, Michener points out that it does not coincide with the evolutionary relationships among higher taxa of bees. Holding in one's hands an iridescent, furry, long-tongued euglossine "orchid bee" with a 4-cm-long tongue and a smooth, small brown nocturnal halictid (sweat bee) with abbreviated mouthparts, there is little doubt they belong in different families. This

> impression is reinforced by the wide range of data on bee biology that Michener summarizes. In the author's classification, bees comprise seven families. Five are short-tongued bees. One of these, the Melittidae, is probably the paraphyletic source of the long-tongued bees. The latter, in the families Apidae and Megachilidae, are

known to all from painful experiences with their sting or ineluctably pleasant experiences with honey or the fruits of applied pollination science.

One hundred years ago, the concept of a bee was grounded on honey making. Most species were fit into a few, rather large genera such as Apis, Bombus, Xylocopa, and Megachile. These are still known by their vernacular names (a good indication of relevance to humans): honey bees, bumble bees, large carpenter bees, and leaf-cutter bees (a misnomer). But the overwhelming majority of the 425 genera Michener recognizes, and their many thousands of species, do not make honey and have no colonies. Perhaps 15% are parasites of other bees, usurping their provisions and nests. Still,

the hidden complexity and gaps in knowledge are astounding. The number of accepted species of honey bees has nearly tripled in the last decade. There are also over 200 species of Bombus, some 400 Xvlocopa, and over 1000 Megachile; and field work is far from complete. Euglossini, restricted to the Neotropics, were thought to consist of some 100 species; intensive recent investigations have doubled the number of known species in the tribe. Except for some highly social forms, bees are relatively scarce (both as individuals and species) in tropical areas. Instead, the highest diversity of species, and, probably, largest numbers of genera and subgenera are found in xeric, warm-temperate regions. But, as Michener



Blue bee. This Thyreus species from the Congo lays its eggs in the nests of anthophorine hosts.

notes, it is difficult to document diversity and abundance patterns because of the different levels of sampling and knowledge in different areas.

Michener's coverage encompasses fossil bees, stingless bees, the silent majority of solitary bees, as well as colonial species, and the highy eusocial bees. (The last, with their perennial colonies of a queen and her many daughter workers, are the best known, although only two tribes consist of such bees.) The author supplements the comparative microscopic study of morphology with behavior and ecology, but he gives museum research the central place. The diversity of the group is also portrayed in sublime color photographs of bees in nature (E. S. Ross and P. Westrich), which will stimulate readers.

I found the continuity and cohesiveness of The Bees of the World very pleasing. Such a well-produced classification provides a rigorous organizational framework. No extravagant claims or puzzling omissions appear; there are only conclusions drawn from nature and systematic study. To know a diverse and abundant group of organisms so well that they can be reasonably reorganized into meaningful and correctly identified biological units is vanishingly rare. Michener has produced what is properly labeled a magnum opus. Ambrosia.

The author is at the Smithsonian Tropical Research In-

stitute, Balboa, Panama. E-mail: roubikd@tivoli.si.edu